

## Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 NICOSI 01717 241330Z

44

ACTION EUR-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 EURE-00 SAM-01 IO-14 SSO-00 NSCE-00

CCO-00 NEA-14 SAJ-01 OMB-01 CIEP-03 ACDA-19 SY-04

OPR-02 CIAE-00 PM-07 H-03 INR-11 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-07

PA-04 RSC-01 PRS-01 SP-03 SS-20 USIA-15 DRC-01 EB-11

/172 W

----- 103581

P R 241240Z JUL 74

FM AMEMBASSY NICOSIA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 9051

INFO AMEMBASSY ANKARA

AMEMBASSY ATHENS

AMEMBASSY LONDON

USMISSION USUN

USMISSION NATO

USEUCOM

USCINCEUR

USDOCOSOUTH

DIA WASHDC//DI-5//

S E C R E T NICOSIA 1717

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR PINT CY TU GR

SUBJECT: TURKISH INTENTIONS, GREEK REACTIONS AND U.S. POLICY

REF: NICOSIA 1663, 1659

SUMMARY: WE BELIEVE ANKARA'S PRINCIPAL GOAL IS TO HOLD ITS SEAPORT AND MUCH OF ITS ACQUIRED TERRITORY. WE BELIEVE GREEKS CAN LEARN TO LIVE WITH THIS IF NO EFFORT IS MADE TO FORMALIZE THEIR LOSS, AND THAT PROSPECT IS FOR A CONTINUATION OF INCONCLUSIVE NEGOTIATIONS ABOUT TERRITORIAL ISSUES AND A CONSTITUTIONAL SETTLEMENT. THIS IS NOT A VERY NEAT SOLUTION, BUT MAY BE LEAST UNDESIRABLE OF UNDESIRABLE OPTIONS. WE THINK USG CAN LIVE WITH IT. END SUMMARY

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 NICOSI 01717 241330Z

1. WE BELIEVE IT USEFUL TO PULL TOGETHER OUR BEST GUESSES AS TO IMMEDIATE POLITICAL VISTA IN CYPRUS.

2. TURKISH INTENTIONS. FROM BEGINNING, TURKS HAVE INSISTED THAT THEIR INTENTIONS ARE LIMITED AND THEY SOUGHT RESTORATION PRE-1963 "CONSTITUTIONALITY." PRAGMATICALLY WE THINK THEIR KUP OBJECTIVE IS ACCESS TO THE SEA FOR TURKISH CYPRIOTS. LACK OF ACCESS HAS BEEN A LONGSTANDING AND RISING SOURCE OF FRUSTRATION TO THEM. IT HAS CAUSED ECONOMIC PROBLEMS AND HAS HAMPERED THEIR EFFORTS TO MOVE MILITARY PERSONNEL AND WEAPONS TO AND FROM ISLAND. WE THINK THIS REQUIREMENT IS THEIR MINIMUM AND THAT THEY WILL GO TO ALMOST ANY LENGTHS TO SATISFY IT. (REFTEL B DESCRIBES AREA THEY WANT.) THEY WILL PROBABLY FORCE GREEKS OUT OF THEIR AREAS AND REPLACE THEM WITH TURK CYPRIOTS FROM ELSEWHERE ON ISLAND.

3. WE ASSUME TURKISH INTENTIONS ARE IN FACT LIMITED. RELATIVELY SMALL SCALE OF ATTACK CONFIRMS THIS ASSUMPTION. WE ASSUME ALSO THAT CONCERN AS TO USG AND SOVIET REACTIONS PERSUADED TURKS TO SETTLE FOR LIMITED OBJECTIVE RATHER THAN LAUNCHING MAJOR ATTACK TO SECURE ISLAND. SIMILARLY, WE THINK UNCHARACTERISTIC TURKISH SOLICITUDE FOR MAKARIOS PARTICULARLY IN FIRST DAYS WAS EFFORT TO CONVINCE SOVIETS THAT TURKISH OBJECTIVES WERE COMPATIBLE WITH SOVIET INTERESTS.

4. WE THINK THAT TURKISH INSISTENCE UPON RESTORATION OF "CONSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURE" IS TACTICAL. FIRST, IT PROVIDES EXCUSE FOR THEM TO EXERCISE UNILATERAL INTERVENTION RIGHTS UNDER 1960 CONSTITUTION. SECOND, IN COMING MONTHS IT WILL JUSTIFY THEIR REFUSAL TO MOVE OUT OF AREAS OCCUPIED, AS THEY NEGOTIATE OSTENSIBLY TOWARD RESTORATION OF "PARTNER-SHIP STATUS" AS TURKS CONTINUE TO DEFINE 1960 CONSTITUTIONAL SET UP. WE DOUBT TURKS WOULD SETTLE FOR 1960 CONSTITUTION IF IT WAS OFFERED TO THEM TODAY, ARGUING THAT ONLY GEOGRAPHICAL SEPARATION AND ACCESS TO SEA PROVIDE ADEQUATE PROTECTION FOR TURKISH COMMUNITY'S "PARTNER-SHIP" RIGHTS.

5. WE DO NOT BELIEVE TURKS WILL GO FOR FORMAL PARTITION, SINCE IT UNDERMINES THEIR RATIONALE AND COULD CAUSE SERIOUS TROUBLES WITH GREEK CYPRIOTS AND ANGER SOVIETS. THEY MAY WELL RESURFACE "FEDERALISM" FORMULA, AND ALMOST CERTAINLY  
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 NICOSI 01717 241330Z

THEY WILL NOT SETTLE FOR ANY PERMANENT ARRANGEMENT WHICH DOES NOT PROVIDE LARGE MEASURE OF TURKISH AUTONOMY UNDER UMBRELLA OF SOME WEAK CENTRAL GOVT. (I.E., CONFEDERATION). IF IT IS CHARGED THAT WHAT THEY DEMAND IS DE FACTO PARTITION, THEY CAN RETORY (INFORMALLY): "THE GREEKS IMPOSED DE FACTO ENOSIS ON THEIR PORTION. WHAT DO YOU EXPECT OF US?"

6. GREEK REACTIONS. IT WOULD BE EXTREMELY DIFFICULT FOR ANY

GREEK CYPRIOT ADMINISTRATION TO GIVE FORMAL ASSENT TO TURKISH LAND GRAB. WE THINK BEST WE CAN EXPECT IS THERE NOW WILL BE TWO SUBJECTS TO TALK ABOUT, EITHER SERIATIM OR IN TANDEM: RESTORATION OF TERRITORIAL STATUS QUO ANTE; AND FUTURE CONSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENTS. IF WE SEEM TO BE PROMISING CONTINUATION OF LONGSTANDING INTERCOMMUNAL TALKS, WITH NEW COMPLICATIONS ADDED, I WOULD SUGGEST THIS IS ABOUT THE BEST WE ARE LIKELY TO GET FOR INDEFINITE FUTURE. AT LEAST THEY WILL HAVE ENOUGH TO TALK ABOUT TO KEEP THE TALKS GOING.

7. WE CAN HARDLY MAKE MUCH OF A GUESS AS TO ATHENS' INTENTIONS FROM HERE AND IN LIGHT OF RECENT CHANGE IN ATHENS. WE WOULD IMAGINE, HOWEVER, THAT WITH IOANNIDES' ADVENTURE AND ITS CATASTROPHIC RESULTS IN MIND AND WITH PROSPECT OF BETTER RELATIONS WITH NICOSIA, ATHENS WILL BE CONTENT TO GO ALONG WITH SCENARIO IN PARA 6.

8. U.S. POLICY INTERESTS. I HAVE DESCRIBED OUR VIEWS AS TO USG POLICY TOWARD CLERIDES (REF A). BEYOND THAT, I BELIEVE WE CAN LIVE WITH INCONCLUSIVE SITUATION DESCRIBED ABOVE. THERE MAY BE CERTAIN ADVANTAGES IF TURKS RETAIN THEIR ACCESS TO THE SEA. THEIR EARLIER FRUSTRATION HAD BEEN MOUNTING TOWARD AN EXPLOSION. THE ANTICIPATED SEPARATION OF POPULATIONS WILL LEAD TO SERIOUS IMMEDIATE DISLOCATIONS AND WILL FURTHER EXACERBATE INTERCOMMUNAL RELATIONS. THIS IS REGRETTABLE. HOWEVER, PHYSICAL SEPARATION OF COMMUNITIES COULD EVENTUALLY LEAD TO REDUCTION OF INTERCOMMUNAL FRICTION EVEN IF IT DIMS ANY HOPES FOR A UNITED CYPRUS. GREEK CYPRIOTS HAVE ATHENS TO BLAME FOR THEIR LOSSES. WE DOUBT THEIR ANGER IS LIKELY TO RISE TO POINT WHERE NICOSIA WOULD RISK WAR TO REGAIN THEM, PARTICULARLY SO LONG AS LOSSES ARE NOT FORMALIZED.

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 04 NICOSI 01717 241330Z

9. THE CYPRUS PROBLEM" HAS BEEN SUI GENERIS FOR A LONG TIME AND SEEMS LIKELY TO REMAIN SO. IF WE CAN GET AN EARLY END TO FIGHTING AND STABILIZE TURK LINES, RECENT CHANGES WILL NOT NECESSARILY MAKE CYPRUS SITUATION MORE EXPLOSIVE. TWO COMMUNITIES' FIRSTHAND VIEW OF REAL WAR (AS DISTINCT FROM INTERCOMMUNAL SNIPING) MAY MAKE POST-CRISIS CYPRUS LESS EXPLOSIVE THAN IT WAS. DAVIES

SECRET

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** NEGOTIATIONS, PARTITION, FOREIGN POLICY POSITION, FORM OF GOVERNMENT  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 24 JUL 1974  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** elyme  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1974NICOSI01717  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GS  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D740200-0269  
**From:** NICOSIA  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1974/newtext/t19740722/aaaaasgd.tel  
**Line Count:** 162  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ACTION EUR  
**Original Classification:** SECRET  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** SECRET  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** NICOSIA 1663, 1659  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** elyme  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 16 APR 2002  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <16 APR 2002 by boyleja>; APPROVED <24-Sep-2002 by elyme>  
**Review Markings:**

Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** TURKISH INTENTIONS, GREEK REACTIONS AND U.S. POLICY  
**TAGS:** PFOR, PINT, CY, TU, GR  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005